

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

and the state of t					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/597,763	06/20/2000	Kazuo Hata	2000 0776A	5987	
7590	07/05/2002				
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			EXAMINER		
Suite 800 2033 K Street NW	7		WATKINS III,	WATKINS III, WILLIAM P	
Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	9	
			DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				MET			
-1,-4	1	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/597,763	HATA ET AL.				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		William P. Watkins III	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
- -	HE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum of ld will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered tim MONTHS from the mailing date of this e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
	N∑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 April 2002 .					
	, <u> </u>	This action is non-final.		,			
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-14 is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
)□ The specification is objected to by the Examir						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	an priority under 35 H S	C & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:	nts have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
	nment(s)						
1) 🛭 2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper N e of Informal Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-7 in Paper No. 6, filed 23 April 2002 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden on the office. This is not found persuasive because a full search of all the claims was not needed to examine the elected claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al. (U.S. 5,733,499).

Takeuchi et al. teaches a surface roughness of a ceramic sheet of less than .2 microns, the ceramic may be made of partially stabilized zirconia, a transition metal oxide may be

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763

Art Unit: 1772

used as a sintering aide and the sheet may be used as a fuel cell element (col. 4, lines 5-30, col. 6, lines 10-20 and col. 6, lines 55-65). The instant invention claims a ceramic sheet with a burr height and dimple height less than 100 microns. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a surface roughness of less than .2 microns would limit burr and dimple heights to less than 100 microns because of the teachings of Takeuchi et al. that low surface defects are desired (col. 1, lines 25-30).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 703-308-2420. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,763

Art Unit: 1772

WW/ww

July 1, 2002

Page 4

Willia Makity

WILLIAM P. WATKINS III PRIMARY EXAMINER